Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/01/12 : CIA-RDP88G01332R000901080017-6

ROUTING AND	TRANSMITTAL SLIP		14 Ju	Ly 86	ing Tanggar Tanggar
k (Nome, effice symbol building, Agency/Pe	(, room number, it)		Indition	Date	19
ADDA			18	DIA JU	ago.
DDA		Z	11	Dete Dete DiA JU	- ·
ms/DA			W .		
					• .
NANK	26.			2 1	-
Action	File	Time	and Ret	47B -	<b>s</b> ion. L
Approvel	For Clearance		Compres	Sen .	•
As Requested	For Correction	1 100			-
Circulate	For Your Information	] Hay			
Comment	investigate		eture		
Coordination	Justify	11	A		_
MARKS					• . •

DO :	NOT	•••	this	form at	a RECO	IRD of	appro	vets, co ctions	<b>ACUITO</b> N	ces,	disposs	ia,
FIN	<b>304:</b> (1	Yame,	org	symbol	, Agency/	Post)	-		Room	No	-Bldg.	. ,
									Phone	No.		

-102 OPTIONAL FORM 41 (Rev. 7-7)

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/01/12 : CIA-RDP88G01332R000901080017-6

EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT

ROUTING SLIP

TO:			ACTION	INFO	DATE	INITIAL
	1	DCI		Χ		
ļ	2	DDCI		Χ		
	3	EXDIR		Χ		
	4	D/ICS				
	<sub>7</sub> 5	DDI		Χ		
Ì	6	DDA		Χ		
	7	DDO				
	8	DDS&T				
	9	Chm/NIC				
	10	GC		X		
	11	IG				
	12	Compt				
	13	D/OLL				
	14	D/PAO		. X		
	15	D/PERS				
	16	VC/NIC				
	17	NIO/ECON		Χ		
	18	D/OGI/DI		Χ		
	19	ES		X		
	20	C/S		Х		
	21					
	22					
,		SUSPENSE		Date	······································	
marks		1				
TOT KS						
					19.1 (90)	
					Executiv	ve Secretary 17 86

STAT

3637 (10-81)

Date

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/01/12 : CIA-RDP88G01332R000901080017-6

## THE WHITE HOUSE WASHINGTON

POUL PORC V	
LOGIES	Executive Registry
LOSSICO	<b>86</b> -3112x

## CABINET AFFAIRS STAFFING MEMORANDUM

Date:	7/7/86	Number:	317,143	Due By:		
Subject: _	Domestic	Policy Cou	ncil Memo			
Vice Pre State Treasur	y	1 000	FYI D	CEA CEQ OSTP	Action	FYI D
Defense Justice Interior Agricult	ture		विर्वार्धि			
Commercial Labor HHS HUD Transport Energy Education Chief of OMB CIA UN	rtation	.000000000000	ग्रह्मात्त्वत्त्रम् वित्तव्यक्तित्त्रम्	Poindexter Svahn Chew (For WH Staffing)	00000000	è 20000000
EPA GSA NASA OPM SBA VA				Executive Secretary for:  OPC  EPC	000000	)       
EMARKS:	Signing Settlem V Al Ca	Statement	ts; and G ments and	tion are two memoradministration Policuidelines on Consense Special Masters.  Don Clarey Rick Davis Ed Stucky	y on It Decrees	
		round Floor, We	est Wing)	Associate Director Office of Cabinet	•	

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/01/12 : CIA-RDP88G01332R000901080017-6

456-2800 (Room 235, OEOB)

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

July 7, 1986

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DOMESTIC POLICY COUNCIL

FROM:

RALPH C. BLEDSOE

Executive Secretary

RE:

Outline of Administration Policy

Attached are two memorandums from the Attorney General discussed at the April 28, 1986 Domestic Policy Council meeting. They outline the Administration's policy on Presidential signing statements, and guidelines on consent decrees, settlement agreements, and special masters. If you have any questions on either of these, please direct them to the Department of Justice.

attachment

Reservation on Matters Not Addressed in the Signing Statement. The Statement shall indicate that it does not reflect any view by the Administration on matters not addressed and that the administering agency shall develop interpretations of such provisions not inconsistent with the Statement.

The administering agencies, Office of Management and Budget and the Department of Justice shall propose Signing Statements to accompany enrolled bills presented to the President for signature. The Attorney General shall review the Signing Statement for legality as to form and substance.

## C. Use of Signing Statements.

Recently the West Publishing Company publicly has agreed to publish signing statements on major bills in the <u>United States</u> Code Congressional and Administrative News (USCCAN). The Department of Justice shall seek to expand the availability of signing statements.

Litigating Divisions within the Department of Justice and agencies with litigation authority are encouraged to review Signing Statements in construing any statute that may be the subject of litigation. Additionally, agencies should review Signing Statements in developing regulations and policy guidelines implementing statutes.



## Office of the Attorney General Washington, A. C. 20530

July 7, 1986

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DOMESTIC POLICY COUNCIL

FROM:

EDWIN MEESE III, And Attorney General /

SUBJECT:

Guidelines on Consent Decrees, Settlement

Agreements and Special Masters

I recently signed litigation policy guidelines dealing with (1) consent decrees and settlement agreements and (2) special masters. These guidelines translate the Administration's views on the appropriate role of the courts into principles that will direct the government's litigation so as to encourage courts to stay within the proper bounds of the judicial function. will apply to cases where litigating authority rests with the Department of Justice, and I also commend them to you as principles that should be observed in all government litigation.

Consent decrees and settlement agreements are means of terminating litigation without trial. A consent decree is a mandatory court order that is negotiated by the parties and approved by the judge. Its legal effect is that of a judgment and order on the merits. A settlement agreement is a private arrangement between litigants in the nature of a contract, through which a lawsuit is ended without the court's participa-

Both of these mechanisms of settlement are subject to abuse in derogation of the Executive's constitutional and statutory prerogatives. For example, a consent decree can be drafted to bind a head of department to do something that the court could not have ordered under its own authority -- to undertake lobbying activities, for instance. This is improper. No member of the executive branch can give away his own or the President's discretion. Likewise, the consent of the parties cannot expand the remedial authority of the courts, which is governed by the Constitution and statutes. Accordingly, the general principle underlying the consent decree guidelines is that the United States will not agree to terms of consent decrees that the court could not have ordered under its remedial powers. In particular, the guidelines disapprove attempts to bind discretion, to commit unappropriated or unbudgeted funds, or to determine regulatory

Similar but less stringent restrictions apply to settlements, which are not court orders. Under the guidelines, when a settlement agreement extends to the issuance of regulations, the government must retain its authority to change them pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act. Also, settlements will not commit the Executive to spend unappropriated, unbudgeted funds.

The other set of guidelines deals with the use of Special Masters. Masters are ad hoc judicial officers appointed by the court for one case and paid for by the parties. They are used fairly frequently in large and difficult litigation, but they sometimes have been appointed in inappropriate circumstances. The fundamental principle underlying these guidelines is that masters are not substitutes for judges and other regular judicial officers (such as United States Magistrates) and are properly appointed only in the narrow range of cases where they will deal with a large number of technical and relatively minor issues.

In addition to delineating the correctly limited role of masters, the guidelines specifically identify several improper uses of masters and provide criteria for determining the government's position as to whether a master should be appointed and protect the rights of litigants to plenary judicial consideration of their case and of the United States to the preservation of the waiver of sovereign immunity with respect to masters' costs, the guidelines set out the circumstances under which the United States will agree voluntarily to contribute to the expenses of a master.

Together, these directives will help implement in day-to-day litigation the basic jurisprudential and constitutional principles on which the President campaigned and which he has charged us to carry out. They represent another step in our ongoing effort to maintain the correct separation of roles of the branches of government.